

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-33620-2019(O&M)
Date of decision-20.11.2019**

Mohinder Singh and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Ranbir Singh Sekhon, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.2 to suspend respondent No.7 who is the Sarpanch of the village, for not performing the duty as a Sarpanch.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Director, Department of Rural Development and Panchayats, Punjab to consider and decide the representation dated 10.10.2019 (Annexure P-3) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl. A.G., Punjab in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Director, Department of Rural Development and Panchayats, Punjab to consider and decide the representation dated 10.10.2019 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the request made by the petitioners is admissible, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the request made by the petitioners is not admissible, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

20.11.2019*ps-I***(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No