

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-37970-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 04.02.2019

M/s R.K. Mahajan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. R.S. Manhas, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, DAG, Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN J. (ORAL)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to release the due amount of ₹1,91,46,218/- towards the works executed by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.3-The Chief Engineer (South), PWD B&R Branch, Mini Secretariat, Patiala, to consider and decide representation dated 09.10.2018 (Annexure P-3), expeditiously.

Learned State counsel is not averse to the suggestion put forth by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Heard.

In view of the above, without advert to the merits of the

case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 3-
The Chief Engineer (South), PWD B&R Branch, Mini Secretariat, Patiala,
within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In
case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion
that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible, in such eventuality,
the consequential relief be allowed, within a period of six weeks thereafter.
However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the
petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be
passed in the matter.

04.02.2019*atulsethi***(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No