

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-49079 of 2019

Date of Decision: 19.12.2019

Sunny

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Dishant D. Tuteja, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner reiterates what he had submitted on the last date of hearing, to the effect that the petitioner, who was a juvenile at the time of the occurrence in which he has been arraigned as an accused (upon an application filed under Section 319 of the Cr.P.C.), has been in custody for almost 2 years and therefore deserves to be admitted to bail; with him today further submitting that his co-accused, Saleem, who had also been summoned on an application filed under Section 319 of the Cr.P.C., had been in fact admitted to anticipatory bail upon that accused having filed CRM-M-6402 of 2018, that petition having been decided on 29.05.2018 (a copy of the order being Annexure P-4).

He has further produced in court today an order passed by the trial court on 17.12.2019, to the effect that witness Raj Kumar had not come present

despite being bound down to appear, and that witness Isham was also absent despite service.

It is seen from the FIR that in fact Raj Kumar is the complainant in the FIR.

That being so, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed, with the petitioner ordered to be released on bail upon his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial court/Duty Magistrate.

December 19, 2019
dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No.