

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-33909-2019

Date of Decision:-21.11.2019.

Sandhya and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: Petitioners in person with
Mr. Subhash Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

SANJAY KUMAR, J. (Oral)

Heard.

Pleadings on record have been perused.

As this Court does not propose to go into the merits of the matter, there is no necessity to put the unofficial respondents on notice or afford them an opportunity of hearing.

The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Pathankot, that in case any representation is filed seeking protection of life and liberty, the contents thereof be duly verified and if necessary, requisite steps be taken strictly in accordance with law for grant of protection of life and liberty to the petitioners. It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of approval or validity by this Court as to marriage of the parties, if any.

It is also made clear that this order shall have no import in the event any challenge is made as to the validity of the marriage.

**(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE**

November 21, 2019.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.