

CR No.7443 of 2019

Date of Decision: 22.11.2019

Mohinder Pal

.....Petitioner

Versus

Pardeep Thapar

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAURPresent: Mr. Atul Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.**NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (ORAL)**

The present revision petition is filed against the order dated 07.11.2019, passed by the Appellate Authority, Ludhiana, vide which, the application of the petitioner to amend the written statement, was rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner while praying for setting aside the said order with a further prayer that he should be allowed to amend the written statement, submitted that the landlord has entered into an agreement to sell qua the property in dispute, which shows that there was no bona fide requirement of the property and the same was to the root of the case and therefore, it being a subsequent event, he should be allowed to amend his pleadings. Reliance is placed on the judgment rendered by Hon'ble the Apex Court in case of Ram Kumar Barnwal Vs. Ram Lakhan (dead), 2007 (3) R.C.R. (Civil) 279.

Heard.

There is not dispute with the proposition of law laid down in the case of Ram Kumar Barnwal (supra). However, the same does not help the petitioner in the facts of the present case. In the present case, admittedly,

the petitioner does not even possess copy of the alleged agreement to sell and on the other side, the respondent/landlord has filed his affidavit denying the averments of the petitioner in the said application. Therefore, there is nothing but an attempt just to delay the proceedings.

In view of the above, this Court does not deem it proper to interfere in the well reasoned order dated 07.11.2019 passed by the Appellate Authority.

Dismissed accordingly.

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

22.11.2019

sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No