

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.230

**CRM-M-61952 of 2018
DECIDED ON: January 25, 2019**

SIMRAN GILL AND ANOTHER

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Ms. Jasneet Mehra, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate,
for respondents No.4 & 5.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

Upon submission of learned counsel for respondents No. 4 & 5 petitioner No.1 has been allowed to meet her parents (respondents No.4 & 5) in my chamber. Petitioner No.1 has said that being immature she was unable to differentiate right or wrong and that in the first instance, she would like to complete her studies by staying with her parents.

It has also come to light that petitioner No.2 is aged about 18 years and is below marriageable age.

Learned counsel for respondents No.4 & 5 submits that his clients are not interested in initiating any legal proceedings against petitioner No.2 and that after the education of petitioner No.1 is complete, they would be willing to get petitioner No.1 married with petitioner No.2 on a later date after he had attained the statutory age required for marriage.

Thus, it is directed that petitioner No.1 shall accompany her parents and that respondents No.4 & 5 shall not initiate any legal proceedings against petitioner No.2.

With the above observation, the present petition stands disposed of.

January 25, 2019
Ankur

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No