

**121 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-33523-2019(O&M)
Date of decision-19.11.2019**

Suleman and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Liaqat Ali, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 to take necessary action against respondent No.4 who has illegally, unlawfully has demolished the wall separating two Mohallas without due permission from the competent authorities and the injuries given to petitioner No.2 which is clear from the photographs attached with as Annexure P-2 on the basis of representation dated 10.10.2019 moved to respondent Nos.2 and 3.

On oral request made by learned counsel for the petitioner, Director, Local Bodies, Punjab Civil Secretariat, Punjab, Chandigarh, is impleaded as respondent No.1(a). Registry is directed to make the necessary corrections in the memo of parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.1(a)-Director, Local Bodies, Punjab Civil Secretariat, Punjab, Chandigarh to consider and decide the representation dated 10.10.2019 (Annexure P-3) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl. A.G., Punjab in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 (a)-Director, Local Bodies, Punjab Civil Secretariat, Punjab, Chandigarh to consider and decide the representation dated 10.10.2019 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the request made by the petitioners is admissible, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the request made by the petitioners is not admissible, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

19.11.2019

ps-I

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
-----------------------------	-----	----

Whether Reportable :	Yes	No
----------------------	-----	----