

139
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-33452-2019

Date of decision: 18.11.2019

Tamana Kalra and another

.....Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: - Petitioners in person with
Mr. Amardeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Karanjeet Singh Brar, Advocate.

.....

Sanjay Kumar, J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Pleadings on record have been perused.

As this Court does not propose to go into the merits of the matter, there is no necessity to put the unofficial respondents on notice or afford them an opportunity of hearing.

The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2- the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, District Patiala, that in case any representation is filed seeking protection of life and liberty, the contents thereof be duly verified and if necessary, requisite steps be taken strictly in accordance with law for grant of protection of life and liberty to the petitioners. It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of approval or validity by this Court as to marriage of the parties, if any.

It is also made clear that this order shall have no import in the event any challenge is made as to the validity of the marriage.

(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE

18.11.2019

rakesh

whether speaking/non speaking : Yes/no

whether reportable/non reportable : Yes/no