

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.279 of 2019
DATE OF DECISION :10th January, 2019

Baldev Singh and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

* * * *

Present : Mr. Nitin Rampal, Advocate for the petitioners.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (Oral)

Heard. Rule returnable forthwith.

Mr. I.P.S.Doabia, Additional Advocate General, Punjab,
accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Heard forthwith with consent of counsel for the rival parties.

It is not in dispute that the grievance made by the petitioners in this writ petition has not been adjudicated by the competent authority, despite the judgment of the Division Bench of this Court in the case of ***Punjab Pradesh Congress Committee Versus State of Punjab and others***, in ***CWP No.8290 of 2013*** passed on 13.06.2013.

The mandate of the aforesaid judgment is that the authority must adjudicate upon the grievances/representation of the concerned party(ies), we think it would be appropriate for the authority to decide the grievances/representation by passing a speaking order after granting opportunity of hearing.

In that view of the matter, we direct the public authority/respondent to hear and decide the representation by treating this petition as a representation by passing a speaking order. Needless to say that the contesting parties in the present petition would also be heard by the public authority/respondent. The decision shall be given, in accordance with law within a period of 2 months from today.

Copy of this order and complete copy of this petition shall be placed before respondent No. 3 by the petitioners.

The Rule stands disposed of with the direction as aforesaid.

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

10.01.2019
pooja saini

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes* *No*

Whether Reportable: *Yes* *No*