

224.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-62053-2018

Date of decision: 11.01.2019

SARASWATI

...Petitioner.

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Mr. Jainainder Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, AAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.1035 dated 06.11.2018 registered under Sections 323/498-A/506/34 IPC and Section 3 of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 at Police Station City, District Hisar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is in custody since 08.12.2018. Even otherwise, the marriage between the complainant and Sandeep (son of the petitioner) was a love marriage and they had taken protection from this Court.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Dinesh Kumar, does not dispute the custody.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 08.12.2018 and the marriage between the complainant and Sandeep was a

love marriage, this Court finds that the allegation of demand of dowry are quite doubtful.

Accordingly, present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on regular bail subject to her furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

The observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

11.01.2019

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No.

Whether Reportable: Yes/No.