

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-33766-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:20.11.2019**

Satish Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Kartikeya Swaroop Mehta, Advocate for the petitioner.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner alleges harassment at the hands of respondents No.3 and 4 only on account of the fact that son of the petitioner has been named as an accused in FIR No.138, dated 25.09.2019, under Sections 279, 337, 338 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Jalandhar.

In paragraphs 3 and 4 of the writ petition, there are categorical averments that son of the petitioner is residing separately. On 28.10.2019, it is alleged that a number of police officials led by respondent No.4 made a forcible entry in the house of the petitioner and even misbehaved with his wife and daughter-in-law.

Having heard counsel at length and without even ascertaining the correctness of the averments made in the petition, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the instant writ petition with a direction to the 2nd respondent i.e. the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar to look into the matter against the backdrop of a representation dated 04.11.2019 (Annexure P-1) and to thereafter take steps as he may deem fit and warranted in accordance with law.

Disposed of

20.11.2019

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

i) Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

ii) Whether reportable?

Yes/No