

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-62040-2018
Date of decision: 28.03.2019**

Raju @ Raj Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.227 dated 05.09.2018 under Sections 307, 324, 326, 452, 148, 149 IPC (Sections 326 & 307 IPC were added later on), registered at Police Station City Kapurthala.

The operative part of the order dated 21.12.2018, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is no medical evidence, from which Section 307 IPC is made out. It is further submitted that the petitioner has been falsely roped in the case only account of the fact that the petitioner is friend of nephew of husband of the complainant and they had some dispute. Notice of motion for 11.01.2019.”

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Gurmail Singh, submits that despite having been granted two opportunities by this Court, the petitioner has failed to join investigation. It is further submitted that neither the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating

Officer nor before the SHO, Police Station City, Kapurthala in terms of the orders dated 21.12.2018 and 11.01.2019.

Learned counsel for the petitioner could not dispute the aforesaid facts.

Considering the fact that petitioner has not joined the investigation despite having been granted two opportunities, the present petition is hereby dismissed.

28.03.2019

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No