

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-61920 of 2018
Date of Decision:17.09.2019

Paramjeet Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mohd. Salim, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C.
for issuance of appropriate directions to respondent No.2 to protect
the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members at the
hands of respondent No.5.

In response to the notice of motion issued by this Court,
reply by way of affidavit of Shubeg Singh, PPS, Deputy
Superintendent of Police, Sub Division, Ahmedgarh, District Sangrur
already filed on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4/State and the same is

taken on record.

Perusal of the preliminary submissions of the reply reveals that after inquiry into the matter it has been found that the petitioner borrowed an amount of Rs. 1 lakh from the father of respondent No.5, namely Skattar Singh in the year 2013 and when respondent No.5 demanded the same then he refused to refund the amount in question. The matter has been thoroughly inquired into and there is no substance found in the complaints dated 10.03.2018, 24.04.2018 and 19.11.2018. Copies of the inquiry reports dated 02.05.2018 and 24.12.2018 conducted by the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division, Ahmedgarh have been annexed with the reply.

In view of the above, no further directions are required to be issued in the matter and the same is disposed off as such.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner apprehends any threat at the instance of respondent No.5 then he would be at liberty to take recourse to the remedy available under law.

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

September 17, 2019
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned	yes/no
Whether reportable?	yes/no