

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

127)

Crl. Misc. No.M-48922 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 25.11.2019

Resham Kaur @ Reshmo and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Kewal Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Ms. G.K. Hundal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Though learned counsel for the petitioners has very vehemently argued that even as per the cross-examination of the complainant (copies of which she seeks to place on record as Annexure P-5 (colly) vide CRM no.36554 of 2019), he has made a contradictory statement, what needs to be noticed by this court at this stage is that in the complaint itself, both the petitioners, along with the prime accused, Tarsem Lal, have been named as the persons who took money from the complainant on the pretext of sending his son abroad, with a similar complaint before that having been made to the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Phillaur (copy Annexure P-4).

Thus, though learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have only been named in the complaint to put pressure on the prime accused, i.e. the husband of petitioner no.1 and the father of petitioner no.2, in my opinion, the complaint, in the aforesaid circumstances, cannot be quashed qua the petitioners at this stage, with any discrepancy in the testimonies of the complainant obviously to be gone into by the trial court

which is well seized of the matter.

Consequently, without making any comment on the merits of the case, for or against the petitioners, this petition is dismissed.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

25.11.2019

vcgarg

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes

Whether reportable: No