

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-40611-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 07.01.2019

Sheila Sarkar

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Ms. Monika Tanwar, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and further for directing the private respondents not to threaten/intimidate/abuse or harass the petitioner. Yet another prayer raised in the petition is for directing the respondent authorities to ensure that the dogs residing in the Ridgewood Estate, D.L.F City, Gurugram be not evicted.

Counsel for the petitioner has been heard at length.

The instant petition is completely bereft of any details where from this Court may infer any threat to the life and liberty of the petitioner. Under such circumstances the prayer made by the petitioner seeking protection of life and liberty cannot be entertained.

In so far as the second prayer raised by the petitioner with regard to eviction of dogs from the society, counsel seeks liberty to pursue the matter with the Animal Welfare Board of India.

Writ petition is disposed of in terms of liberty, as prayed for.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

07.01.2019

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No