

**102 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-37779-2018

Date of decision-13.03.2019

Khatuni and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms. Monika Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Munfaid Khan, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, D.A.G., Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of certiorari thereby cancelling the license granted to respondent No.6 by respondent No.2.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners states that at this stage, she would be satisfied, if this petition be treated as representation and a direction is issued to respondent No.3-Deputy Commissioner, Nuh, to consider and decide the same expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, D.A.G., Haryana in the Court today.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioners to

submit a copy of the present petition within two weeks from today to respondent No. 3-Deputy Commissioner, Nuh, who shall treat the copy of present petition as representation and consider and decide the same within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the request made by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to the petitioners, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the request made by the petitioners is not admissible, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

13.03.2019

ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No