

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**288**

**CWP No.37782 of 2018**

**Date of decision: 23.07.2019**

**Sukhwant Singh and Ors.**

**..Petitioners**

**Vs.**

**Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. and Ors.**

**..Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Sandeep Godara, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashu Kaushik, Advocate  
for the respondents.

\*\*\*\*\*

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)**

Counsel for the respondents has filed the reply on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4 in the Court today and the same is taken on record.

Counsel for the respondents on the basis of the reply filed today argues that there was a dispute between the family of Sh. Lakhwinder Singh, due to which, the benefit for which the legal heirs were entitled in respect of the service rendered by the said Sh. Lakshwinder Singh, could not be paid. Counsel further argues that on 13.05.2019, the petitioner furnished an affidavit to the respondents that the benefit, which are accruing after the death of Sh. Lakhwinder Singh be paid to petitioner No.2-Ms. Sarabjit Kaur and in terms of the said request, a cheque amounting to ₹1 lac has been handed over to the petitioner for onward transmission to Ms. Sarabjit Kaur. Counsel further states that the benefit of

leave encashment amounting to ₹ 7913/- will be deposited in the account of petitioner No. 2. Counsel states that no further payment is due to be paid to the petitioner.

Counsel for the petitioners namely Mr. Sandeep Godara accepted the cheque, which has been handed over to him in the Court today, a photocopy of which has been retained on this file.

Counsel for the petitioners prays that the petitioners be given liberty to approach the respondents in case any other relief, for which the petitioners are entitled for according to them but has not been released to them so far, by filing representation with respondents.

Counsel for the respondents states that in case any representation is filed by the petitioner claiming any benefit, the same will be disposed of within a period of three months of the receipt of the same by passing appropriate speaking order on the said claim.

Keeping in view of the above, counsel for the petitioners states that he does not wish to press this writ petition any further and the same is disposed of having been not pressed.

**July 23, 2019**  
Poonam Sharma

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No