

234

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCF No.11984 of 2018
Date of Decision: 11.09.2019

Darshan Singh and others

Petitioners

Versus

Anurag Aggarwal, IAS, Managing Director, HVPNL, Panchkula and
another

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Ms. Divya Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. D.S. Nalwa, Advocate
for the respondents.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 26.02.2018 passed by this Court in CWP No.21062 of 2012.

The relevant portion of the order is reproduced as under:-

“In conspectus of the above, the petition is allowed. The impugned order/letter, dated 09.10.2012 (Annexure P-14), is set aside. The respondents are directed to consider the claims of the petitioners for regularisation of their services, in terms of the policy dated 29.07.2011 (Annexure P-6), with all consequential benefits. However, the arrears of pay, the petitioners shall be entitled to, as a consequence of their regularisation, shall remain confined to a period of three years preceding the date of filing of this petition, i.e. 17.10.2012.”

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that Letters Patent Appeal (LPA) has been preferred against the decision dated 26.02.2018 of learned Single Judge.

Learned counsel for the petitioners fairly states that statement has been made before the LPA Bench that till the decision of LPA, the contempt petition would not be pressed.

The contempt petition is disposed of at this stage, with liberty to the petitioners to file afresh if cause of action survives after decision of the LPA.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

September 11, 2019

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No