

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

105

CRM-M-61522-2018 (O & M)
Date of Decision:06.02.2019

Amit Kumar and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Ms. Aarti Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Suresh Kumar Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioners under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of a direction to the official respondents No.2 and 3 for protection of their life and liberty at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 9, as they have married against the wishes of private respondents.

Learned State counsel has filed reply by way of affidavit of Harbhajan Singh, Inspector, SHO, PS Naraingarh on behalf of respondent No.1 in Court along with the statements of Sarpanch, Lambardar and one Yogesh Kumar of village Berkheri, Tehsil Nariangarh, District Ambala (Annexures R-1 to R-3). The same are taken on record. Copy given to the counsel for the petitioners.

In the reply, it is mentioned that the petitioners are not resident of village Berkheri and have not even temporarily resided there. It is

further mentioned that they are the permanent resident of village Tabar, Tehsil Nukar, District Saharanpur (UP).

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission of the Court to withdraw the petition.

The prayer is accepted.

The petition is allowed to be dismissed as withdrawn.

06.02.2019

sheetal

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No