

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.61917 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 13.02.2019**

Jeeto Bai

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Mr. Fatehjeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. C.L.Pawar, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent No.1/State.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in Complaint Case No.COMI/634/2013 dated 29.07.2013 (P-1), titled as '**Surat Singh Versus Sucha Singh and others**', vide which, petitioner has been summoned to face trial for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

This Court, while issuing notice of motion on 21.12.2018, passed the following order:-

“ Learned counsel for the petitioner states that it is on the basis of a complaint filed by complainant Surat Singh, the petitioner was summoned to face trial in the case. Vide order dated 22.11.2018, learned Additional Sessions Judge, Fazilka declined anticipatory bail to the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner may tamper with the record. However, the petitioner was merely a Panch and had no role in disbursement of pension.

Notice of motion for 04.02.2019.

Meanwhile, in case the petitioner appears before the trial Court within a week from today, she shall be admitted on interim bail by the trial Court on her furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court. ”

It has been pointed out by learned State Counsel, on instructions from H.C. Mukhtair Singh, that the matter has been compromised between the parties and even in pursuance of aforesaid order, the petitioner has joined the proceedings before learned trial Court.

In view of above, interim order dated 21.12.2018 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. and the present petition stands disposed off.

It is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the learned trial Court and will not seek unnecessary adjournments.

The observations may not be considered as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

February 13, 2019

Gagan

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No