

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-48846-2019

Date of Decision: 25.11.2019

Sandeep Singh @ Sonu

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Piyush Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Sewak, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was apprehended from a car belonging to his cousin, with the cousin having run away from the spot (as per the case of the investigating agency), but with him having been apprehended later.

He therefore submits that there is nothing actually to connect the petitioner to the drugs stated to have been recovered from the car, in which the petitioner was travelling as an innocent passenger (as contended).

He further submits that he has been in custody for the past 11 months, with no prosecution witness examined so far.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner having been apprehended at the spot, he does not deserve the concession of bail, though he does not deny that the driver-cum--owner of the car was also subsequently, arrested and is in custody.

There is stated to be no other criminal case registered against the petitioner.

Keeping in view the above and the fact that the trial is likely to take long as yet, the petition is allowed. The petitioner shall be admitted to bail upon his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

November 25, 2019
nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE
Yes
No.