

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-48579-2019

Date of Decision: 21.11.2019

Kanto

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr.P.S.Sekhon, Advocate with
Mr.Jassan Preet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.SPS Tinna, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

Petitioner prays for bail pending trial in FIR No.125 dated 24.07.2019 registered under Section 22 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 at Police Station City Samana, District Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that only 340 intoxicating tablets were recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, which fall in non-commercial quantity.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State submits that in fact 500 tablets were recovered from the co-accused of the petitioner and petitioner had tried to run away on noticing that search is being carried out. He further submits that the petitioner is also a previous convict in a case under the NDPS Act.

In view thereof, this Court is not inclined to grant concession of bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

21.11.2019
mks

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No