

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-48599-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision : 29.11.2019

Lal Ratnakar Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL**

Present: Mr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Sr. Advocate with  
Mr. Pratham Sethi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana, alongwith  
ASI Manoj Kumar

\*\*\*\*

**ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)**

Petitioner prays for grant of pre-arrest bail in a criminal case arising from FIR No.87 dated 17.10.2019 registered under Sections 420, 34, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code and Section 13-A of Public Gambling Act and Section 66 of Information Technology (Amendment) Act, 2008 at Police Station Cyber Crime, Gurugram, District Gurugram.

As per case of the prosecution, Ramesh Chaurasiya alongwith his co-accused has committed a cheating of ₹2,50,00,000/-. He allows the victims to play online casino. For that purpose, he has floated companies under the name and style of “Game India Private Limited” and “Game King India Net Limited” and has also created two websites namely “Funrep.net” and “playrep.com”.

Petitioner is alleged to be personal Manager of the aforesaid Ramesh Chaurasiya and had been directing the victim-first informant to deposit the amount in various accounts from time to time.

Learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is only a personal Manager of Ramesh Chaurasiya and no amount has been deposited in his personal account. Hence, he submits that no offence against the petitioner is made out.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Manoj Kumar, has submitted that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required to unearth the truth as large number of victims are coming forward with similar complaints.

This Court has also been informed that initially victims are made to win and get some rewards so that they get encouraged and once they are in trap, by changing their game ID, victims are deliberately made to loose as the entire control is with the aforesaid accused.

In view thereof, this Court is not inclined to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

**29.11.2019**

*Pawan*

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)  
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned:-                      Yes/No**

**Whether reportable:-                                      Yes/No**