

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.33187 of 2019

Date of Decision : 16.11.2019.

Rajesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has submitted representation Annexure P/2 (colly) dated 29.08.2019 to respondent No.3 but the same has not been decided till date and that in the circumstances the petitioner would be satisfied if at this stage the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.3 to consider and decide representation Annexure P/2 (colly) dated 29.08.2019, in a time bound manner.

2. In view of the innocuous nature of the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.3 to consider and decide the claim made in representation Annexure P/2 (colly) dated 29.08.2019, as expeditiously as possible preferably within six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

**(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE**

16.11.2019.

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No