

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.33220 of 2019

Date of Decision : 16.11.2019.

Gonika

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. Ajay Kumar Dahiya, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has submitted representation Annexure P/12 (colly) dated 18.07.2019, followed by reminder dated 07.09.2019 in respect of the grievance which is the subject matter in the instant writ petition, but the same has not been decided till date and that in the circumstances the petitioner would be satisfied if at this stage the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide representation Annexure P/12 (colly) dated 18.07.2019 followed by reminder dated 07.09.2019, in a time bound manner.

2. In view of innocuous nature of the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide representation Annexure P/12 (colly) dated 18.07.2019 followed by reminder dated 07.09.2019, as expeditiously as possible preferably within two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order along with copy of representation Annexure P/12 (colly) dated 18.07.2019 and reminder dated 07.09.2019 as referred to above.

**(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE**

16.11.2019.

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No