

219

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.3821 of 2019
Date of Decision: 17.12.2019**

Sanjeev Kumar

Petitioner

Versus

Arshdeep Singh Thind, General Manager, Food Corporation of India,
Punjab and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. K. K. Gupta, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 3.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab
for respondents No.2 and 4.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The petitioner filed a writ petition impugning order whereby the petitioner being proprietor of M/s Mani Mahesh Rice Mill was blacklisted. However, he restricted his claim in the petition stating that petitioner would be satisfied if a fresh order is passed after affording an opportunity of hearing, learned State counsel had no objection. The writ petition was disposed of on 16.11.2018 directing the concerned authority to afford an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and pass a fresh order within a week.

The contempt petition is filed pleading needful has not been done by the respondents.

The respondents have filed replies annexing orders dated 29.11.2019, same are taken on record, copies handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner.

It is argued that the said orders have been passed after providing opportunity of hearing.

Learned State counsel submits that delay occurred in passing the order as other departments were involved from which comments were required to be called for.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that while passing the order, though personal hearing was given but same was not effective as there was change in circumstances later on as a result of registration of FIR.

The said aspect cannot be gone into the present contempt petition. The directions of this Court have been substantially complied with, as fresh order has been passed that too after affording opportunity of hearing. Moreover, it is specifically mentioned in the order that petitioner gave representation in writing during personal hearing.

The contempt petition is disposed of.

However, petitioner shall be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

December 17, 2019

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No