

RA-RS-30-C-2017 IN RSA-5062-2014

HARI SINGH & ORS. V/S STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

-*-

Present: Mr. Saurabh Arora, Advocate,
for the appellants.

Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, AAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Vikas Kuthiala, Advocate
for applicant-respondents No.2 and 5 to 8.

-*-

The primary grievance which has been sought to be projected in the review application is that the respondents against whom findings of malafide have been recorded, were not even served in the appeal.

Learned counsel for the appellants is unable to rebut the same.

Perusal of the records also indicates that although notice of motion was issued by this Court but on none of the occasions, respondents No.4 and 9 to 19 have been served. As a matter of fact, the note of the Registry was that notices could not be issued to these respondents as counsel for the appellants had not filed the process fee.

In view of the above, the present review application is allowed. Order dated 17.12.2016 is hereby reviewed and recalled. The Regular Second Appeal is taken on board.

Let notices be issued to the unserved respondents i.e. respondents No.4 and 9 to 19 for **02.09.2019**.

12th March, 2019
Harish

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE