

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

123

CR No.14353 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 25.02.2019

Chhinder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Bachittar Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. P.K. Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

On 21.12.2018 after noticing the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner, notice of motion was issued while passing the order which records as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the First Appellate Court has erred in relying upon the judgment and decree dated 06.10.2014 without noticing that the judgment and decree is result of collusion between the vendors of the plaintiff and respondent herein. He points out that the plaintiff purchased the property vide registered sale deed dated 13.03.2008 whereas the suit was filed on 01.02.2012 which resulted into decree dated 06.10.2014.

Notice of motion for 07.02.2019.

In the meantime, the parties are directed to maintain status quo as it exists today.”

In spite of service of notice, no one is present on behalf of the respondent. The suit is pending before the trial Court fixed for plaintiff's

evidence. Counsel for the petitioner-plaintiff undertake to conclude his evidence within 3 months from the next date fixed before the trial Court.

Keeping in view the aforesaid undertaking, the revision petition is disposed of with a request to learned trial Court to make a sincere effort to dispose of the suit in a time bound manner. The evidence of the plaintiff shall be closed within the time aforesaid and after granting sufficient opportunities to the defendant, the case shall be decided.

In the meantime, the interim order dated 21.12.2018 passed by this Court shall continue to operate.

With these observations, the revision petition is disposed of.

25.02.2019
Dinesh Bansal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No