

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-33300-2019

Date of decision: 18.11.2019

Rani Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms. Himani Kapila, Advocate for the petitioner

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of direction to respondent Nos. 1 to 4 to take appropriate legal action against respondent Nos.5 to 8 for showing misconduct in the working of the village Gram Panchayat by way of their removal in view of Section 20 of the Punjab Panchayati Raj Act, 1994.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, she would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 – Director, Rural Development and Panchayats, Punjab to consider and decide the representation dated 1.10.2019 (Annexure P-3) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl.AG, Punjab in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the

case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Director, Rural Development and Panchayats, Punjab to consider and decide the representation dated 1.10.2019 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law within six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to her, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to her, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

18.11.2019
gsv

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No