

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-48548-2019
Date of decision: 18.12.2019**

Yashveen Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Sahil Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagmohan Ghuman, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.118 dated 28.05.2019 registered under Sections 379-B, 411 IPC at Police Station City Kapurthala, District Kapurthala.

The operative part of the order dated 16.11.2019, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the allegations in the FIR, the petitioner was not named in the FIR and the allegations against the petitioner is that he has purchased the stolen property. It is further argued that it would be a matter of trial as to whether the offence punishable under Section 411 IPC is made out against the petitioner or not.

Notice of motion for 18.12.2019.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 16.11.2019, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI

Surinder Singh, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 16.11.2019, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

18.12.2019
Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No