

205
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
10/1/2019 16:36
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-57277-2018 (O/M)
Date of decision : 14.1.2019

Lokesh Singhal

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Yogesh Goel, Advocate,
for petitioner.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Achin Gupta, Advocate,
for complainant.

-.-

-.-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Mr. Achin Gupta, Advocate, appears on behalf of complainant,
filed power of attorney in Court today and same is taken on record.

Heard.

The learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Satbir Singh, states that petitioner has joined investigation and he is no longer required for further investigation. Police has no objection to grant of anticipatory bail. However, the learned counsel for complainant has opposed application on the ground that in this case, Rs. 30,00,000/- as earnest money were paid. The agreement to sell was dated 31.10.2014. The sale deed was to be executed on 29.10.2015 i.e. after one year and thereafter, time was further extended to 29.10.2016 to execute sale deed. In the meanwhile, on 22.7.2015, the portion of land has been sold.

It is not deemed proper to deny anticipatory bail to petitioner.
Keeping in view granting long period for execution of sale deed and then

another long extension for same purpose, complainant is always at liberty to file a suit for specific performance. Therefore, without commenting upon merits of case, interim anticipatory bail, granted to petitioner by this Court, vide order dated 19.12.2018, is made absolute. Petition is therefore allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

14.1.2019
sjks

Whether speaking order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No