

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-48554-2019

Date of Decision: 21.11.2019

Sajjan Singh Solanki

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Randeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in a case FIR No.574 dated 14.06.2019, under Sections 406, 420, 506 and 34 IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Sadar Gurugram. He is in custody since his arrest 18.06.2019.

The present FIR was registered on the statement of complainant Narender Kumar, wherein it was stated that one deal was going on between his children and U.K. based company i.e. Ignovate Group, which was involved in preparing Herbal Private medicine containing salt of Eudesmazine liquid. The company had given the contact number of one lady Lilyant, who had given the sample of the liquid at complainant's house. After checking the sample, the said company had sent one person, namely, Moris, who had taken the liquid as a sample. The Director of the company had demanded 40 liters of liquid and told the price of the liquid as 1.90 crores, but the complainant's children did not agree on price. The deal was settled for Rs.1.2 crores and asked them to come to Meghalya for the

payment. As per complainant, the payment of Rs.1.20 crores was made in six different accounts. After that, the accused persons again demanded more money but the sons of complainant had refused to make the payment and asked to return the amount already paid on which, accused persons extended threat. On these broad allegations, the FIR was registered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the deal of the complainant was with some company and its representative and the petitioner never induced the complainant to part away with the amount. He submits that the account of the petitioner was used and a sum of Rs.20,00,000/- was deposited in it. He submits that the offences are triable by the Magistrate and the investigation of the case is complete. One of the co-accused has already been granted bail by the trial Court vide order dated 03.08.2019 (Annexure P-3).

On the other hand, learned State counsel assisted by ASI Vijay Kumar has opposed the prayer, however, he does not dispute that the co-accused of the petitioner has already been granted the concession of bail.

Considering the fact that the trial is likely to consume considerable time, this Court is of the opinion that further detention of the petitioner may not be justified. Therefore, without meaning any expression of opinion on the merits of the case, petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing requisite bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court concerned.

21.11.2019
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE