

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

1. CRM-M No. 48397 of 2019
Date of Decision: 15.11.2019

Sudhir Kumar BeriPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

2. CRM-M No. 48495 of 2019

Sudhir Kumar BeriPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. D.K.Bhatti, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

This order shall dispose of two petitions as the both have arisen out of a common order.

In CRM-M-48397-2019, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 189 dated 4.9.2019, registered under Section 174-A IPC at Police Station Sector-14, Panchkula.

In CRM-M-48495-2019, the petitioner has sought quashing of the order dated 31.7.2019 vide which he was declared proclaimed offender in the complaint filed under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act,

titled 'M/s Pyramid Electronics Vs. M/s Classic Lighting'.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the complaint case, the petitioner was granted anticipatory bail on 8.11.2019 but on the same day, the same Court had declined the anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 189 dated 4.9.2019, under Section 174-A IPC, registered at Police Station Sector-14, Panchkula. It has also been pointed out by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the total amount involved is Rs. 69,500/- and the petitioner is ready to pay the same along with interest and to pay fine being imposed by the trial Court.

Without commenting anything on the merits of the case, both these petitions are disposed of with a direction that the petitioner will appear before the trial Court on 18.11.2019 i.e. the date already fixed. On appearance he would be admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Court concerned.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

November 15, 2019
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No