

**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-32966-2019

Date of decision: 15.11.2019

Sumeet Kaur Dhanjal and another **.....Petitioners.**

vs.

State of Punjab and others **.....Respondents.**

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: - Petitioners in person with Ms. Sakshi Saini, Advocate for
Mr. Baljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

.....

Sanjay Kumar, J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Pleadings on record have been perused.

As this Court does not propose to go into the merits of the matter, there is no necessity to put the unofficial respondents on notice or afford them an opportunity of hearing.

The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2- the Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala, District Batala, Punjab, that in case any representation is filed seeking protection of life and liberty, the contents thereof be duly verified and if necessary, requisite steps be taken strictly in accordance with law for grant of protection of life and liberty to the petitioners. It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of approval or validity by this Court as to marriage of the parties, if any.

It is also made clear that this order shall have no import in the event any challenge is made as to the validity of the marriage.

(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE

15.11.2019

preeti

whether speaking/non speaking

yes/no

whether reportable/non reportable

yes/no