

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

163

CWP No.33023 of 2019

Date of decision: 15.11.2019

Ujala

...Petitioner

Vs.

Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra and Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA

Present: Mr. Priyavrat Parashar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

B.S. WALIA, J.(ORAL)

1. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has submitted representation Annexure P/5 dated 17.12.2018 Annexure P/7 dated 21.12.2018 as well as representation Annexure P/8 dated 14.10.2019 in respect of the grievance which is the subject matter of the instant writ petition but the same have not been decided and the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of at this stage by directing respondent No.1 to consider and decide the claim as made in the representation Annexure P/5 dated 17.12.2018, Annexure P/7 dated 21.12.2018 and Annexure P/8 dated 14.10.2019 in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

2. In view of the innocuous nature of the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.1 to consider and decide the claim made in representation Annexure P/5 dated 17.12.2018, Annexure P/7, dated 21.12.2018 and Annexure P-8, dated 14.10.2019 in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible preferably within four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

November 15, 2019

Poonam Sharma

**(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No