

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP NO. 33049 OF 2019
DATE OF DECISION : 15.11.2019**

Harpreet Kaur and others

...Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present:- Mr. M. K. Dogra, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. P. S. Bajwa, Addl. AG, Punjab.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

By way of instant petition, the petitioners have sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus to direct the respondents to treat them as regular from the date similarly situated persons were regularized vide order dated 30.06.2011 (Annexure P-2), with all consequential benefits. The petitioners also submitted representation dated 12.03.2019 (Annexure P-7) but the same has not been adverted to till date. Hence the writ petition.

2. Notice of motion.

3. On advance service of copy of the petition, Mr. P.S. Bajwa, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, has put in appearance on behalf of respondents.

4. Given the nature of order being passed, there is no necessity to seek return by any of the respondents as no further proceedings and/or pleadings are required.

5. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to objectively consider the representation dated 12.03.2019 (Annexure P-7) and also by keeping in view the contentions stated in the present writ petition by treating it as a supplementary representation and also keeping in view the judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 28332 of 2013 and pass a speaking order, in accordance with law.

6. Let the needful be done within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case any favourable order is passed, it is expected of the respondents to implement the same within a period of two months thereafter.

7. Disposed of in above terms.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

NOVEMBER 15, 2019
shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No