

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

145

CWP No.33224 of 2019

Date of Decision : 22.11.2019

Neelam

....Petitioner

v/s

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Sandeep Goyat, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the writ petition is for quashing of Orders (Annexure P-5) dated 06.09.2019 and (Annexure P-6) dated 15.09.2019, whereby the petitioner who was working as TGT/Master (Social Studies) at Government High School, Satrod Kalan was transferred to Government Middle School, Guniana, Block Nissing at Chirao, District Karnal.

[2] Learned counsel contends that the petitioner who is suffering from medical problems, besides has son, aged 08 years and daughter, aged 12 years and mother-in-law suffering from cancer had given 53 preferences in the transfer drive held by the Department during the period 31.08.2019 to 04.09.2019, but none of the preferences given by the petitioner were accepted and she was transferred to a station other than a station of her choice.

[3] Learned counsel contends that the petitioner submitted a representation (Annexure P-7) dated 07.09.2019 on Sugam Portal, praying for being given a posting at one of the 53 preferences, submitted by her but no decision has been taken in respect thereto, till date and the petitioner would be

satisfied, if the writ petition is disposed of at this stage and respondents are directed to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-7) dated 07.09.2019 sympathetically and in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible.

[4] Without commenting upon the merits of the case and in view of the innocuous nature of the prayer, made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is ***disposed of*** by directing respondent No.2 to sympathetically consider and decide the claim of the petitioner, keeping in view the factual position regarding the health of the petitioner, her mother-in-law, as also of her having two small children, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of 02 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

November 22, 2019
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No