

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

276

**RA-CW-202-2017 in
CWP-870-2015
Date of Decision : 03.04.2019**

Urmila Gupta and Another Petitioners

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh and Others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Aalok Jagga, Advocate
for the applicants-petitioners.

None for respondent No.1.

Mr. B.S. Patwalia, Advocate
for respondent No.7.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)

This review application has been filed for reviewing the order dated 22.02.2017.

On 27.02.2019 the following order was passed:-

“Counsel appearing for respondent No.7 has stated that this review applications has been rendered infructuous since the U.T. Administration has prescribed a specific time for Librarians for single shift schools and doubt shift schools and it is for this reason that counsel for the applicants-petitioners is not present. He has placed on record a copy of the order dated 07.01.2019 passed by Director School Education, Chandigarh Administration, Chandigarh which is taken on record as Mark 'A'.

One more opportunity is granted to the counsel for the applicants-petitioners to appear.

Adjourned to 03.04.2019.”

Counsel for the respondent No.7 states that now the order dated 07.01.2019 Mark 'A' has been passed whereby specific time has been fixed for Librarians.

Counsel for the applicants-petitioners has argued that in fact even this order is illegal.

Be that as it may, the applicants – petitioners are entitled to challenge that order. Consequently, the present review application is disposed of.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

April 03, 2019
ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No