

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

105)

CRM-M-48365-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 15.11.2019

Savinder Singh @ Pal Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Parminder Singh Sekhon, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. P.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate, for the complainant.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Though learned counsel for the petitioners has very vehemently argued that the petitioners have simply been roped in, with even the driver, (who is stated to have taken the father of the complainant to the hospital), having stated that the father did not name anybody on the journey to the hospital, however, the statement of the complainant was that his father had told him on the way that the petitioners and others had injured him, which was the version subsequently narrated by the wife and the mother of the deceased also, their contention again being that it had been disclosed to them by the deceased on the way to the hospital.

Other than that, there most definitely was shown to be an injury on the head of the deceased as per the post mortem report, with learned counsel for the complainant also submitting that the head injury has been shown to be the cause of the death.

Consequently, I see no reason to entertain this petition, which is therefore dismissed.

However, nothing stated herein above shall be taken to be an observation of this court on the merits of the case, for or against the petitioners, which naturally would be subject matter of evidence led before the trial court, with all observations made herein above so made only in the context of a petition seeking 'anticipatory bail'.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

15.11.2019
vcgarg/dinesh

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: No