

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.32774 of 2019
Date of decision: 14.11.2019

Sushma
Versus
....Petitioner

State of Haryana and others
....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. P.R. Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has submitted representation Annexure P-4 dated 18.09.2019 as well as reminder Annexure P-6 dated 27.09.2019 in respect of the grievances which are the subject matter of the writ petition but the same has not been decided till date and the petitioner would be satisfied if at this stage, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation Annexure P-4 dated 18.09.2019 as well as reminder Annexure P-6 dated 27.09.2019 in accordance with the rules and regulations as expeditiously as possible.

2. In view of the innocuous nature of the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation Annexure P-4 dated 18.09.2019 and reminder Annexure P-6 dated 27.09.2019 in accordance with the rules/regulations/instructions applicable as

expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

3. Writ petition disposed of with the aforementioned directions.

November 14, 2019
ps

(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No