

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP NO. 36178 OF 2019
DATE OF DECISION : 13.12.2019**

Vinod Kumar and others

...Petitioners

versus

The State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : Mr. Kartik Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, AAG, Punjab.

ARUN MONGA, J.(ORAL)

By way of present petition, the petitioners have sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant pay scale of ₹10,300-34,800/- Grade Pay ₹4200/- to the Medical Laboratory Technician Grade-II (MLT-II) and scale of ₹10,300-34,800/- Grade Pay ₹4800/- to the Medical Laboratory Technician Grade-I (MLT-I) and scale of ₹15,600-39,100/- Grade Pay ₹6600/- to Senior Medical Laboratory Technician along with all consequential benefits including senior scale and selection grades with effect from 11.12.2011. The petitioners also submitted representation contained at Annexure P-2 (colly), but the same has not been adverted to by the respondents. Hence the petition.

2. Notice of motion.

3. On advance service of copy of petition, Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab has put in appearance on behalf of respondent-State.

4. Given the nature of order being passed, there is no necessity to seek return by any of the respondents as no further proceedings and/or pleadings are required.

5. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to objectively consider the representation contained at Annexure P-2 (colly) and also by keeping in view the contentions stated in the present writ petition by treating it as a supplementary representation and also by keeping in mind the judgment dated 05.12.2014 rendered in CWP No. 19659 of 2012, contained at Annexure P-3 and pass a speaking order in accordance with law.

6. In case the competent authority is of the view that the judgment, *ibid*, is not applicable on the facts of present case, in that case, reasons be recorded qua its non-application.

7. Let the needful be done within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

8. Disposed of in above terms.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

DECEMBER 13, 2019
shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No

