

**116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-32887-2019(O&M)
Date of decision-14.11.2019**

Arun Khosla

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. H.C. Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents not to allot any further development works to PWS (B&R) or to private contractors till a meeting of Municipal Corporation, Phagwara is convened by respondent No.3.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.3- Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Phagwara to convene the meeting expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl. A.G., Punjab in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3- Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Phagwara to convene meeting in accordance with law within four weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. However, in case the competent authority feels that the request made by the petitioner is not admissible, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

14.11.2019*ps-I***(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
-----------------------------	-----	----

Whether Reportable :	Yes	No
----------------------	-----	----