

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-34072 of 2019

Date of decision: 22.11.2019

Krishna Kumari Bhatia

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Bharat Bhushan Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.

As per the averments made in the present writ petition, the petitioner was initially appointed as Clerk on *ad hoc* basis vide letter dated 29.06.1992 (Annexure P/1), on which post she continued working till her services were regularised by the respondents w.e.f. 01.10.2003. Counsel further argues that on attaining the age of superannuation, the petitioner retired on 31.12.2017, but the service, which she rendered on *ad hoc* basis with the respondents from 01.07.1992 till 30.09.2003 has not been taken into account as qualifying service while computing her pensionary benefits.

Admittedly, the petitioner has not raised her claim qua the benefits, which is being prayed in the present writ petition and the writ of mandamus cannot be issued without raising any claim.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition, at this stage, with liberty to raise claim of the petitioner for treating her service rendered on *ad hoc* basis from 01.07.1992 till 30.09.2003 as qualifying service for

computing her pensionary benefits.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

22.11.2019
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|----|--------------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/non-speaking? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |