

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.33503 of 2018
Date of Decision:25.01.2019

Naveen Kumar and others

..... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: - Ms.Alka Chatrath, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Before approaching this Court, the petitioners had filed CWP
No.23449 of 2018 in which the following order was passed on 14.9.2018: -

*“Learned counsel for the petitioners
submitted that in view of the judgment of
Hon’ble the Supreme Court in State of U.P.
and others Vs. Shiv Kumar Pathak and
others 2017 AIR (SC) 3612 holding that the
qualifications prescribed by the National
Council for Technical Education are binding
on the States, she may be permitted to
withdraw the present writ petition at this
stage with liberty to approach the
department concerned to grant the relief
prayed for.*

Ordered accordingly.”

Apropos, the petitioners made a representation (Annexure P-27). The grievance of the petitioners is that the said representation has not been decided till date.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr.Vivek Saini, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2 and submitted that if an appropriate direction is issued by this Court, the representation made by the petitioners would be considered.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has also made the similar prayer.

Accordingly, the present petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to decide the pending representation made by the petitioners, by passing a speaking order, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and preferably after giving opportunity of hearing to one of the representationists.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

25.01.2019

Vivek

Whether speaking /reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable : *Yes/No*