

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

272

**RA-CW-406-2017 in
CWP-9711-2012
Date of Decision : 08.04.2019**

Sandeep Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present :- Mrs. Shruti Jain Goel, D.A.G., Haryana
for the applicant-respondents-State.

Mr. Puneet Gupta, Advocate with
Mr. Anil Rana, Advocate
for the non-applicant-petitioner.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)

This review application has been filed for reviewing the judgment and order dated 19.5.2017.

In the writ petition the petitioner had challenged the action of the respondents in declaring him ineligible. Some other similarly situated persons had also filed writ petitions. At the time of deciding the petition I had noticed the fact that similarly situated persons had been issued appointment letters (thereby rendering their petitions infructuous) and consequently I allowed this petition on the ground that the State could not discriminate.

This review application has been filed stating that even the relief given to those similarly situated persons have been wrongly granted. I put a query to the learned Deputy Advocate General as to whether any action has been initiated against those similarly situated persons to whom

the relief had been wrongly given. Learned Deputy Advocate General has very fairly stated that no action has been taken against those persons till date.

Consequently, I deem it appropriate to dismiss this review application. Ordered accordingly.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

April 08, 2019
ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No