

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

159

CWP No.32998 of 2019

Date of decision: 15.11.2019

Ved Parkash Yadav

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA

Present: Mr. Vikram Lakhlan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

B.S. WALIA, J.(ORAL)

1. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has submitted a representation on 29.08.2019 to respondent No.2 vide Sugam Sampark ticket No.201698-FSE01 dated 29.08.2019 but the same has not been decided till date.
2. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner would be satisfied if at this stage, the petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the aforementioned representation keeping in view the Teacher Transfer Policy, Annexure P-1, dated 29.06.2016 in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.
3. In the light of the position as noted above, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the aforementioned representation as submitted in Sugam Sampark vide ticket No.201698-FSE01 dated 29.08.2019 in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order along with copy of representation, as referred to above.

November 15, 2019

Poonam Sharma

**(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No