

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

228

CRM-M-47991-2019

Date of decision: 18.11.2019

Vijay Kumar

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Girish Agnihotri

Present: Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. N.K. Banka, DAG, Punjab.

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.225 dated 03.09.2019 under Sections 457 & 380 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Jandiala, Amritsar (Annexure P-1).

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that in the FIR, the petitioner was not named. The said FIR was registered against the unknown persons. It is also contended that he is not involved in any other case. It is further contended that on the disclosure statement of Vijay Kumar, the stolen items *inter alia* computers etc. have been recovered.

On instructions from police official, learned State counsel has not disputed the factual position but opposed the prayer for bail.

Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper book.

Keeping in view of the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 04.09.2019, thus, the trial of the case may take long time, no useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody.

In view of the totality of the circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

However, this order may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case pending before learned trial Court.

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

18.11.2019
anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No