

**133 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-32599-2019

Date of decision: 8.11.2019

Mukesh ad another

.....Petitioners.

vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: - Petitioners in person with Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

.....

Sanjay Kumar, J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Pleadings on record have been perused.

As this Court does not propose to go into the merits of the matter, there is no necessity to put the unofficial respondents on notice or afford them an opportunity of hearing.

In the light of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in **Nandakumar and another versus State of Kerala and others, 2018 AIR (SC) 2254**, in the context of live-in relationships, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2- the Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra, Haryana, that in case any representation is filed seeking protection of life and liberty, the contents thereof be duly verified and if necessary, requisite steps be taken strictly in accordance with law for grant of protection of life and liberty to the petitioners.

**(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE**

8.11.2019

preeti

whether speaking/non speaking

yes/no

whether reportable/non reportable

yes/no