112

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-32747-2019(O&M)
Date of decision-13.11.2019

Jaskaran Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

***

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus for issuing direction to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to decide the legal notice/representation dated 01.10.2019 (Annexure P-1) expeditiously.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.3-Deputy Commissioner, Sangrur to consider and decide the representation dated 01.10.2019 (Annexure P-1) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl. A.G., Punjab in the Court today.

POOJA SHARMA
2019.11.14 13:05
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-Deputy Commissioner, Sangrur to consider and decide the representation dated 01.10.2019 (Annexure P-1) in accordance with law within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the request made by the petitioner is admissible, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the request made by the petitioner is not admissible, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

13.11.2019 **(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**
ps-I **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No

POOJA SHARMA
2019.11.14 13:05
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document