

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.33106 of 2019

Date of Decision : 16.11.2019.

Manju and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. H.P.S. Sidhu, Advocate for
Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate for the petitioners.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners have submitted representation Annexure P/7 dated 12.03.2019 in respect of the grievance which is the subject matter of the writ petition but the same has not been decided till date and that in the circumstances the petitioners would be satisfied if at this stage the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim as made in representation Annexure P/7 dated 12.03.2019, in a time bound manner.

2. In view of the innocuous nature of the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim as made in the representation Annexure P/7 dated 12.03.2019, as expeditiously as possible preferably within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

**(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE**

16.11.2019.

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No